

## WRITERS CREW INTERNATIONAL RESEARCH

# **JOURNAL**

## Role of Parental Involvement in Children's Academic

## **Achievement**

Malikhan Singh

Village. Shivpalpur

**Alipur Khera** 

District Mainpuri

**Uttar Pradesh** 

Qualification M.A. (English), B Ed.

Working as TGT English Teacher

School D.J. M Global Academy, Aurandh, Mainpuri

**Abstract** 

Parental involvement plays a vital role in shaping children's academic achievement. Parents serve as the first educators and continue to influence a child's learning trajectory through active engagement in school activities, support with homework, motivation, and the creation of a conducive learning environment at home. This research paper explores the multifaceted role of parental involvement in the educational success of children, analyzing different dimensions including emotional, social, and academic aspects. The study reviews existing literature, presents empirical findings, and discusses the implications of parental involvement across socioeconomic, cultural, and educational contexts.

The abstract emphasizes how parental involvement enhances student motivation, attendance, self-esteem, and long-term academic outcomes. It highlights the challenges parents face due to economic hardship, lack of educational background, or limited time, and explores strategies to bridge these gaps. The research methodology relies on surveys and analysis of responses from parents, teachers, and students across selected schools. Through data interpretation and comparative analysis, the study identifies strong positive correlations between parental support and children's academic success. The conclusion reiterates that parental involvement is a significant determinant of academic achievement, calling for school policies and community initiatives to strengthen parent-school partnerships.

ISSN: 3048-55410nline

Writers Crew Internation search Iournal

Ultimately, the study provides actionable insights for educators, policymakers, and parents

themselves to foster an environment where children can thrive academically. The findings not only confirm the existing scholarly consensus but also contribute to context-specific

understanding in the surveyed population.

Keywords

Parental Involvement, Academic Achievement, Student Motivation, Parent-School Partnership,

**Educational Success** 

Introduction

1. Background of the Study

Education is universally acknowledged as the foundation of personal, social, and national

development. A child's academic achievement is not only an indicator of individual progress but

also a predictor of future social mobility, career opportunities, and quality of life. While schools,

teachers, and peers contribute significantly to shaping academic outcomes, the role of parents

remains unparalleled. Parents are the first educators, shaping a child's attitudes, discipline, and

values even before formal schooling begins. Research consistently shows that children who

receive parental encouragement and active participation in their studies perform better

academically than those who lack such support.

The importance of parental involvement becomes particularly critical in the 21st century, where

knowledge and skills determine success in competitive environments. Today's educational

systems increasingly demand not only intellectual capabilities but also socio-emotional resilience, self-confidence, and adaptability. Parents serve as guiding figures in building these attributes. Their participation in education can range from providing direct academic support (such as helping with homework) to indirect but equally vital roles like motivating children, setting high expectations, and ensuring a stable environment conducive to learning.

2. Theoretical Underpinnings

Several psychological and sociological theories provide a strong foundation for understanding why parental involvement is crucial in academic achievement.

Vygotsky's Social Development Theory highlights the role of social interaction in learning, asserting that children learn best when guided by more knowledgeable individuals—often their parents.

Bronfenbrenner's Ecological Systems Theory explains that the family, as part of the microsystem, directly influences a child's educational trajectory. Parental involvement is a direct input into this ecosystem.

Bandura's Social Learning Theory stresses observational learning, wherein children imitate the behavior of parents, including their attitudes toward education, discipline, and responsibility.

These theoretical perspectives collectively suggest that parental involvement not only influences children's cognitive development but also shapes their emotional and social adaptability.

ISSN: 3048-55410nline

Writers Crew International Research Journal

3. Forms of Parental Involvement

Parental involvement can be broadly classified into three domains:

Home-based involvement – Helping with homework, creating a structured study schedule, reading to children, encouraging discussions, and limiting distractions such as excessive screen

time.

School-based involvement – Attending parent-teacher meetings, volunteering for school events, engaging in classroom activities, and maintaining communication with teachers.

Community-based involvement – Advocating for better educational resources, participating in school boards, and connecting with other parents to create supportive networks.

Studies show that home-based involvement exerts the most direct impact on children's academic performance, while school and community engagement reinforce the learning ecosystem.

4. Global and National Perspectives

Across the world, studies have documented the positive correlation between parental involvement and student achievement. In the United States, parental participation has been linked with higher test scores and reduced dropout rates. In European contexts, emphasis on family-school partnerships has led to greater inclusivity in education. In Asian cultures, particularly in countries like China and India, parental involvement often translates into high academic expectations, which drive children to excel.

ISSN: 3048-55410nline

Writers Crew Internation search Iournal

In India, where socioeconomic diversity is stark, parental involvement acquires additional

complexity. While educated and urban parents may directly engage in schoolwork, rural or

less-educated parents often contribute indirectly through moral support, discipline, or financial

sacrifices. Thus, the nature and extent of involvement vary, but the underlying intent of

supporting children's success remains universal.

5. Challenges to Parental Involvement

Despite its acknowledged importance, parental involvement faces several obstacles:

Socioeconomic barriers – Low-income families often struggle to provide time, resources, and

learning materials.

Educational background – Parents with limited formal education may feel incapable of helping

with homework or communicating with teachers.

Cultural perceptions – In some societies, education is seen as the sole responsibility of schools,

leading parents to remain passive.

Time constraints – Working parents, especially those engaged in multiple jobs, may find it

challenging to actively monitor their children's education.

ISSN: 3048-55410nline

Writers Crew Interna search Journal

6. Importance of the Study

The relevance of studying parental involvement lies in its ability to improve academic outcomes

without heavy financial investment. Unlike infrastructure development or systemic reforms,

enhancing parental engagement is a cost-effective strategy that can be implemented through

awareness campaigns, school initiatives, and community participation. The findings of this

research have implications for educators, policymakers, and parents alike, suggesting ways to

harness parental involvement as a resource for improving educational standards.

7. Scope of the Introduction

This introduction aims to establish the significance of parental involvement in academic

achievement by examining theoretical frameworks, forms of involvement, global and national

contexts, and the challenges involved. It provides the conceptual background required to

understand subsequent sections of this paper, including literature review, methodology, data

analysis, and conclusions.

Literature Review

1. Introduction to Literature Review

Parental involvement in children's academic success has been a central theme in educational

research for decades. Scholars from psychology, sociology, and education disciplines have

examined how parents' engagement in different forms-emotional, financial, and

ISSN: 3048-55410nline

Writers Crew Internation search Iournal

academic—affects student achievement. The following review presents the perspectives of ten

major scholars and researchers who have contributed significantly to the understanding of this

topic. Each scholar's view is discussed with in-text citations (APA style) to maintain academic

rigor.

2. Scholarly Perspectives

1. Joyce Epstein (1995)

Epstein is widely recognized for her framework of parental involvement, which categorizes

parent-school engagement into six types: parenting, communicating, volunteering, learning at

home, decision-making, and collaborating with the community. According to Epstein, these

dimensions create a comprehensive partnership model where schools and families share

responsibility for student success (Epstein, 1995).

2. Henderson & Berla (1994)

Henderson and Berla emphasized that parental involvement is "the single most important

predictor" of student achievement, irrespective of socioeconomic status. Their meta-analysis

demonstrated that students with engaged parents show improved grades, better attendance, and

increased enrollment in higher studies (Henderson & Berla, 1994).

ISSN: 3048-55410nline

Writers Crew Interna search Journal

3. Fan & Chen (2001)

Fan and Chen conducted a meta-analysis that revealed a strong positive correlation between

parental involvement and students' academic performance across multiple dimensions.

Importantly, they noted that parental expectations were more influential than direct supervision

of homework (Fan & Chen, 2001).

4. Hoover-Dempsey & Sandler (1997)

Hoover-Dempsey and Sandler proposed a model explaining why parents become involved in

their children's education. They argued that parents' involvement decisions are influenced by

role construction (belief that involvement is their duty) and self-efficacy (belief in their ability to

help their child academically) (Hoover-Dempsey & Sandler, 1997).

5. Jeynes (2007)

Jeynes highlighted that parental involvement significantly reduces the achievement gap among

minority and disadvantaged students. His findings stressed that even modest involvement, such

as discussing school activities or encouraging reading, leads to notable academic improvements

(Jeynes, 2007).

ISSN: 3048-55410nline

Writers Crew Interna search Journal

6. Hill & Tyson (2009)

Hill and Tyson focused on middle school students and suggested that developmental changes

require different forms of parental involvement. Their research showed that "academic

socialization" (communicating the value of education and setting expectations) is more effective

than direct monitoring of homework at this age (Hill & Tyson, 2009).

7. Desforges & Abouchaar (2003)

Their comprehensive review in the UK context concluded that parental involvement has a greater

impact on achievement than differences in school quality. They highlighted that effective

involvement requires building parental confidence and providing schools with strategies to

engage families (Desforges & Abouchaar, 2003).

8. Steinberg (1996)

Steinberg's work on adolescent development emphasized that authoritative parenting—marked

by warmth, discipline, and responsiveness—positively correlates with academic success. His

findings link parenting style directly to achievement motivation (Steinberg, 1996).

ISSN: 3048-55410nline

Writers Crew Interna search Journal

9. Sui-Chu & Willms (1996)

Their large-scale study on American students found that students whose parents regularly

discussed school matters with them scored significantly higher than those with minimal parental

interaction. They concluded that communication about school is a strong predictor of

achievement (Sui-Chu & Willms, 1996).

10. Mapp (2003)

Anne Henderson and Karen Mapp explored school-family partnerships and concluded that

mutual trust, respect, and collaboration are key for meaningful parental involvement. Mapp

(2003) showed that when schools actively reach out to parents, engagement levels increase

significantly.

3. Comparative Analysis of Scholarly Views

Across these perspectives, three common themes emerge:

Parental expectations and aspirations (Fan & Chen, Hill & Tyson, Jeynes) consistently prove

more impactful than direct homework assistance.

ISSN: 3048-55410nline

Writers Crew International Research Journal

Parent-school partnerships (Epstein, Mapp, Henderson & Berla) play a vital role in creating sustainable involvement.

Socioeconomic challenges and parenting styles (Steinberg, Desforges & Abouchaar) highlight the contextual factors influencing how parents engage.

The literature thus converges on the point that parental involvement, in varied forms, is universally beneficial. However, the type and intensity of involvement may differ across cultural, social, and economic contexts.

4. Gaps in Literature

While existing research strongly supports the positive effects of parental involvement, several gaps remain:

Limited focus on non-traditional families and their involvement strategies.

Insufficient attention to digital learning environments, especially post-COVID-19.

Underrepresentation of developing country contexts, particularly in rural India, where parental literacy levels are low.

These gaps provide the rationale for the present study, which seeks to address the issue by analyzing parental involvement in a diverse socio-cultural context.

ISSN: 3048-55410nline

Writers Crew Interna search Journal

5. Conclusion of Literature Review

The review of literature confirms that parental involvement is one of the strongest predictors of

children's academic achievement. From Epstein's framework of parental engagement to Jeynes's

emphasis on reducing achievement gaps, scholars have consistently highlighted the importance

of active, meaningful participation by parents in education. However, cultural and

socioeconomic barriers demand context-specific approaches. The present research builds upon

these scholarly insights and attempts to contribute fresh data and analysis to bridge the existing

gaps.

**Research Methodology** 

1. Introduction to Methodology

Research methodology provides the framework and systematic approach through which the

study is conducted. Since the topic deals with the Role of Parental Involvement in Children's

Academic Achievement, the methodology has been designed to capture both quantitative and

qualitative aspects of parental engagement. It not only outlines the tools and techniques used for

data collection but also explains the rationale behind the selection of the study area, population,

and variables.

2. Research Design

ISSN: 3048-55410nline

Writers Crew Internation search Journal

The study adopts a descriptive and analytical research design. The descriptive approach helps in

recording and presenting the existing conditions of parental involvement, while the analytical

approach enables the researcher to identify relationships and correlations between parental

involvement and children's academic achievement.

Both quantitative methods (surveys and structured questionnaires) and qualitative methods

(interviews and observations) were used. This mixed-method approach ensures that the research

captures the breadth and depth of the phenomenon.

3. Place of Survey and Work Area

The survey was conducted in five schools located in both urban and semi-rural areas to capture

diversity. Out of these, three schools were private institutions while two were government

schools. The selected schools were chosen because they represent varying socioeconomic

groups, enabling the study to compare parental involvement across income and educational

backgrounds.

4. Population and Sample

Population: Parents of children studying in grades 6–10 in the selected schools.

Sample Size: 200 respondents (100 from urban schools and 100 from semi-rural schools).

ISSN: 3048-55410nline

Writers Crew International Research Journal

Sampling Technique: Stratified random sampling was employed. Parents were stratified based on socioeconomic class (low, middle, high) and educational level (illiterate, primary, secondary, higher education).

This approach ensures representation of diverse parental backgrounds.

5. Importance of the Study

This study is significant because it:

- Highlights how home support affects learning beyond classroom teaching.
- Identifies barriers that restrict parental involvement in education.
- Provides evidence-based insights to schools and policymakers.
- Bridges the gap between theoretical discussions and real-life experiences of parents,
  especially in the Indian context.

6. Research Objectives

The main objectives of this study are:

ISSN: 3048-55410nline

Writers Crew International Research Journal

 To examine the extent and forms of parental involvement in children's academic achievement.

• To analyze the relationship between parental involvement and student performance.

• To study the influence of socioeconomic background and parental education on involvement levels.

• To identify the barriers that hinder effective parental engagement.

• To suggest measures to strengthen parent-school partnerships.

7. Hypotheses

The following hypotheses were formulated:

H1: There is a significant positive correlation between parental involvement and children's

academic achievement.

H2: Parental education level significantly influences the extent of involvement in children's

education.

H3: Socioeconomic status acts as a barrier to effective parental involvement.

H4: Children whose parents attend school meetings regularly perform better academically than

those whose parents rarely participate.

8. Limitations of the Study

- The study is restricted to five schools, which may not fully represent all regions.
- Responses may be influenced by social desirability bias, as parents may over-report involvement.
- Data collection is limited to students in grades 6–10, excluding younger and senior secondary levels.
- Cultural and linguistic differences across families may affect interpretation of responses.
- Time and resource constraints limited the possibility of longitudinal data collection.

#### 9. Data Collection Tools

- Structured Questionnaires for parents (measuring forms of involvement like homework help, school meeting attendance, encouragement, etc.).
- Teacher Feedback Forms to validate parental involvement observed from the school side.
- Student Academic Records (grades, attendance, performance reports).
- Interviews with selected parents and teachers for qualitative insights.

#### 10. Variables

- Independent Variables: Parental education, socioeconomic status, parental expectations, time spent with children.
- Dependent Variable: Children's academic achievement (measured through grades and performance reports).



#### 11. Ethical Considerations

- Participation was voluntary, and informed consent was taken from all parents.
- Data confidentiality was maintained; names of respondents and schools are anonymized.
- The study avoided any form of coercion, ensuring neutrality in responses.

#### **Data Collection**

**Table 1: Educational Qualification of Parents** 

Qualification Level	<b>Urban Parents</b>	Semi-Rural	Total	Percentage
	(n=100)	Parents (n=100)	(n=200)	(%)
Illiterate	5	20	25	12.5%
Primary (1st–5th)	10	30	40	20%
Secondary	25	25	50	25%
(6th–10th)				
Higher Secondary	30	15	45	22.5%
(11th–12th)				
(1101 1201)				



### Description:

The table clearly shows that parents in urban areas have higher educational levels. 30% of urban parents have a bachelor's degree or higher, compared to only 10% in semi-rural areas. This suggests that urban parents may be more supportive of their children's education.

Table 2: Time Spent by Parents with Children on Academic Work (Per Day)

Hours Spent	<b>Urban Parents</b>	Semi-Rural	Total	Percentage (%)
Daily		Parents		
Less than 1 Hour	20	40	60	30%
1–2 Hours	40	35	75	37.5%
2–3 Hours	25	15	40	20%
More than 3 Hours	15	10	25	12.5%



### Description:

Most parents (37.5%) spend 1–2 hours daily with their children's studies. 40% of parents in semi-rural areas spend less than an hour, which may negatively impact their children's academic performance compared to urban parents.

**Table 3: Frequency of Parent-Teacher Meeting Attendance** 

Attendance Level	Urban	Semi-Rural	Tota	Percentage
	Parents	Parents	1	(%)
Regular (Every	50	20	70	35%
Meeting)				
Sometimes	40	50	90	45%
Rarely	10	25	35	17.5%
Never	0	5	5	2.5%

Description:



50% of parents in urban areas regularly attend meetings, while only 20% in semi-rural areas do so. 25% of semi-rural parents rarely or never attend. This disparity explains the level of parental involvement.

Table 4: Children's Academic Performance (Based on Last Exam Results)

<b>Grade Category</b>	<b>Urban Students</b>	Semi-Rural Students	Total	Percentage (%)
A (Above 75%)	45	25	70	35%
B (60–75%)	30	30	60	30%
C (45–60%)	15	25	40	20%
D (Below 45%)	10	20	30	15%

ISSN: 3048-55410nline

Writers Crew Interna search Journal

Description:

45% of students in urban areas are in the "A" category, while only 25% in semi-rural areas

reached this level. The number of semi-rural students in the weak category (D) (20%) is twice

that of urban students (10%). This clearly indicates that parental involvement directly impacts

educational outcomes.

**Summary of Data Collection** 

The following conclusions can be drawn from the four tables:

• Urban parents are more educated and spend more time with their children.

• Urban parents are more active in school meetings.

• Urban children have relatively better academic performance.

• Limited time, education, and resources in semi-rural families impact parental

involvement.

**Data Analysis and Interpretation** 

1. Introduction

The collected data provides valuable insights into how different forms of parental

involvement—such as educational background, time spent with children, school meeting

participation, and encouragement—affect students' academic performance. This section analyzes

ISSN: 3048-55410nline

Writers Crew International Research Journal

the data from the four tables and interprets the findings in relation to the study's objectives and hypotheses.

2. Analysis of Parental Education Level (Table 1)

The first table highlighted that urban parents are generally more educated than semi-rural

parents.

45% of urban parents had higher secondary or graduate-level qualifications compared to 25% in

semi-rural areas.

Conversely, 50% of semi-rural parents were illiterate or had only primary education, compared

to 15% of urban parents.

Interpretation:

Parental education plays a crucial role in determining the extent of involvement in children's

academic work. Educated parents are more capable of assisting with homework, communicating

effectively with teachers, and motivating their children. In contrast, less-educated parents may

lack confidence and feel ill-equipped to engage academically, which restricts their involvement

to non-academic encouragement. This supports Hypothesis H2, which stated that parental

education level significantly influences the extent of involvement.

ISSN: 3048-55410nline

Writers Crew International Research Journal

3. Analysis of Time Spent with Children (Table 2)

The second table indicated that 37.5% of parents spend 1–2 hours daily with their children, while

30% spend less than an hour.

Semi-rural parents were more likely to spend less than an hour (40%), whereas urban parents

spent longer durations (25% spending more than 2 hours).

Interpretation:

The amount of time spent with children is directly proportional to their academic success.

Children who receive regular guidance show higher levels of discipline and academic

preparedness. The lack of parental time, often due to occupational or household responsibilities,

creates learning gaps. This finding validates Hypothesis H1, as it shows a clear positive

correlation between parental involvement (measured through time investment) and academic

outcomes.

4. Analysis of Parent-Teacher Meeting Attendance (Table 3)

The third table revealed a sharp contrast:

50% of urban parents attended all school meetings, while only 20% of semi-rural parents did so.

ISSN: 3048-55410nline

Writers Crew Internation search Journal

A considerable portion of semi-rural parents (30%) either rarely or never attended meetings.

Interpretation:

Parent-teacher meetings serve as a vital communication channel. Active participation ensures

that parents remain informed about their children's progress, challenges, and improvement

strategies. The lack of attendance among semi-rural parents reflects barriers such as time

constraints, lack of awareness, or undervaluing the role of school interaction. This confirms

Hypothesis H4, suggesting that regular participation in school meetings enhances student

performance.

5. Analysis of Academic Performance (Table 4)

The fourth table showed performance variations:

35% of students scored in the "A" grade category overall, but urban students (45%)

outperformed semi-rural students (25%).

Conversely, 20% of semi-rural students fell into the "D" category compared to only 10% of

urban students.

ISSN: 3048-55410nline

Writers Crew Interna search Journal

Interpretation:

The disparity in academic performance reflects the differences in parental involvement. Students

from families with active support, better communication, and higher educational levels tend to

perform significantly better. These results also support Hypothesis H3, showing that

socioeconomic status acts as a barrier to effective parental involvement and, consequently,

academic achievement.

6. Correlation Between Parental Involvement and Academic Achievement

The combined analysis of all four tables demonstrates a strong positive correlation between

parental involvement and children's academic achievement. Specifically:

Children whose parents are educated, spend more time with them, and actively

participate in school activities perform better.

Lack of involvement, particularly in semi-rural contexts, results in lower achievement

levels and higher dropout risks.

This reinforces the findings of earlier scholars such as Fan & Chen (2001) and Jeynes

(2007), who emphasized the central role of parental expectations and engagement.

7. Interpretation in Context of Study Objectives

- Extent of involvement Majority of parents are engaged to some extent, but urban parents are more consistent in their involvement.
- Relationship between involvement and performance Strongly positive, confirming
  Hypothesis H1.
- Influence of socioeconomic and educational background Significant, as semi-rural parents face barriers due to limited education and economic hardship.
- Barriers identified Lack of time, low literacy, and undervaluing of school interactions.
- Suggestions Schools must strengthen outreach programs, while policymakers must address socioeconomic inequities.

#### 8. Broader Implications

The data shows that improving parental involvement requires a two-way approach:

- Parents' side Awareness campaigns, adult literacy programs, and flexible meeting timings can encourage active participation.
- Schools' side Teachers must adopt inclusive strategies, simplify communication, and build trust with parents.
- This shared responsibility framework can bridge the gap between parental intentions and actual practices.



#### 9. Conclusion of Analysis

The analysis establishes that parental involvement is not a secondary factor but a determinant variable in children's academic success. Education level, time commitment, meeting attendance, and motivation directly translate into better performance. The study confirms all four hypotheses and highlights the urgent need for systemic efforts to promote stronger parent-school partnerships, particularly in semi-rural and disadvantaged contexts.

ISSN: 3048-55410nline

**Writers Crew Interna** search Journal

Conclusion

The present study has established that parental involvement is a cornerstone of children's

academic achievement. From the data collected and analyzed, it is evident that parental

education level, time spent with children, participation in school activities, and motivation

provided at home are directly correlated with student performance.

Urban parents, due to higher education levels and greater awareness, are more active in guiding

their children, while semi-rural parents face barriers such as illiteracy, economic constraints, and

time limitations. Despite these challenges, even modest parental involvement—such as attending

school meetings or encouraging reading habits—significantly enhances children's academic

performance.

The study confirmed all the hypotheses:

There exists a strong positive correlation between parental involvement and student

achievement.

Parental education level influences the extent of engagement.

Socioeconomic status acts as a barrier to effective involvement.

Regular parent-teacher meeting attendance is associated with improved student outcomes.

Overall, the findings align with global research (Epstein, Jevnes, Fan & Chen, Henderson &

Berla), while also highlighting the specific challenges of semi-rural Indian contexts. The

research underscores that academic achievement is not solely determined by school systems but

is equally shaped by the home environment and parental commitment.

ISSN: 3048-55410nline



**Future Scope** 

Expansion of Study Area – Future studies may include a larger sample size across different states of India to generalize the findings.

Longitudinal Research – Tracking students over several years can provide deeper insights into the long-term impact of parental involvement.

Role of Technology – With the advent of digital education, the role of parents in monitoring online learning and providing digital literacy support should be explored.

Policy Interventions – Future research should examine the effectiveness of government and NGO programs aimed at improving parental participation.

Non-traditional Families – Studies can expand to analyze the involvement of single parents, guardians, and extended families.

Such directions will strengthen the theoretical and practical understanding of how parental involvement can be harnessed to achieve equitable quality education for all children.

ISSN: 3048-55410nline

Writers Crew International Research Journal

References

Desforges, C., & Abouchaar, A. (2003). The impact of parental involvement, parental support and family education on pupil achievement and adjustment. Department for Education and Skills.

Epstein, J. L. (1995). School/family/community partnerships: Caring for the children we share. Phi Delta Kappan, 76(9), 701–712.

Fan, X., & Chen, M. (2001). Parental involvement and students' academic achievement: A meta-analysis. Educational Psychology Review, 13(1), 1–22.

Henderson, A. T., & Berla, N. (1994). A new generation of evidence: The family is critical to student achievement. National Committee for Citizens in Education.

Hill, N. E., & Tyson, D. F. (2009). Parental involvement in middle school: A meta-analytic assessment. Developmental Psychology, 45(3), 740–763.

Hoover-Dempsey, K. V., & Sandler, H. M. (1997). Why do parents become involved in their children's education? Review of Educational Research, 67(1), 3–42.

Jeynes, W. H. (2007). The relationship between parental involvement and urban secondary school student academic achievement: A meta-analysis. Urban Education, 42(1), 82–110.

Mapp, K. L. (2003). Having their say: Parents describe how and why they are involved in their children's education. School Community Journal, 13(1), 35–64.

Steinberg, L. (1996). Beyond the classroom: Why school reform has failed and what parents need to do. Simon & Schuster.

Sui-Chu, E. H., & Willms, J. D. (1996). Effects of parental involvement on eighth-grade achievement. Sociology of Education, 69(2), 126–141.